ORIGINAL ARTICLE

potential n its main cids, vitaagli et al. ken after eficial for 3). vely from ery hairs al. 2008; ther teas may be lorophyll Cim et al. ny health I. 2014), nti-cancer as other itioxidant catechin epigallo-GC), epiare the hen et al. contains and other dt 2007), vhite tea, white tea and has kes it a n physioer, while on white

Many parameters may have an effect on the quality of tea infusions, such as the maturity of the leaves, processing factors and brewing conditions (Kocadagli et al. 2013; Saklar et al. 2015; Vuong et al. 2013). For instance, it has been reported that infusion time and temperature both have obvious effects on the extraction of catechins (Saklar et al. 2015). In addition, the type of water used for brewing can affect tea infusions (Mossion et al. 2008; Yau and Huang 2000). Water quality is dependent on several parameters, including pH, ion content and hardness (Yau and Huang 2000). Water with a pH in the range of 6.7–7.2 and a ferrous ion content <2 ppm was reported to be ideal (Yau and Huang 2000), and purified water, rather than tap water or natural water, was found to improve the quality of the infusion (Zhou et al. 2009). Yin et al. (2014) found that green tea infusions were best when made from water with a low Ca²⁺ concentration. Meanwhile, Mossion et al. (2008) analyzed the effect of water mineralization on the extraction of aluminium, calcium and organic carbon in tea infusions. Vuong et al. (2013) investigated the effects of pH on green tea constituents and found that a pH between 3 and 5.3 was appropriate.

Tea polyphenols, caffeine and amino acids play an important role in the quality of the infusion, thus there may be a relationship between water quality and such components (Zhou et al. 2009). However, few studies have addressed this relationship (Zhou et al. 2009). The present study investigated the effect of water quality on the main components of Fuding white tea, namely catechins, caffeine, theanine and free amino acids. Three water types (pure, tap, and spring) were tested.

Materials and methods

Materials and chemicals

Fuding white tea (Bai Hao Yinzhen) with a moisture content of 7.02 g/100 g was provided by the Fujian Bamin Tea Shop. Theanine, caffeine, standard catechins, acetonitrile, and methanol used in high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) were all of HPLC grade and were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Co. (Shanghai, China). Pure water was provided by Hangzhou Wahaha Group Co., Ltd. (Hangzhou, China), spring water was prepared by Nongfu Spring (Jiande) Xinanjiang Beverage Co., Ltd., and tap water was from our laboratory. All other chemicals used were of analytical grade.

Determination of water physicochemical properties

The physicochemical properties of pure, tap and spring water were determined including main cations contents and pH. Ca^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , Na^+ and K^+ contents were analyzed by atomic absorption spectrometry and pH was determined by pH meter.

Preparation of infusions

White tea (3.0 g) was extracted once with 150 mL of boiling water at 100 °C for 5 min. Infusions were prepared in porcelain tea pots covered with porcelain lids during brewing. Upon completion of brewing, infusions were filtered and used for analysis.

Analysis of the main components

The main components of Fuding white tea (catechins, caffeine, theanine and free amino acids) were monitored. Catechins in samples from different treatments were analyzed by HPLC according to ISO 14502-2:2005. Caffeine was determined by following ISO 10727:1995. Theanine was determined by HPLC according to national standards (GB/T 23193-2008, China). Free amino acids were determined with an amino acid analyzer according to national standards (GB/T 5009.124-2003, China). Finally, solids were analyzed according to national standards (GB/T 8305-2013, China).

Sensory evaluation

Sensory evaluation was performed according to the national standards outlined in GB/T 23776-2009. Tea infusions prepared using different brewing conditions were consumed by the experimental subjects, and sensory responses (colour, taste and aroma) were evaluated on a 100-point scale, where 90-99 = high intensity, 80-89 = neutral intensity, and 70-79 = low intensity, as described in GB/T 23776-2009.

Statistical analysis

All samples were prepared and analyzed in triplicate. Mean \pm standard deviation were calculated and statistical analysis was performed using ANOVA in SPSS (version 20.0). A *p* value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results and discussion

Water physicochemical properties

Physicochemical properties of pure, tap and spring water were listed in Table 1. The pH was between weak acid and weak base, and was the highest in tap water (pH = 7.4), lowest in pure water (pH = 6.6), and intermediate in

Table 1 Physicochemical properties of different water types

Water types	рН	Cations (mg/L)			
		Ca ²⁺	Mg ²⁺	Na ⁺	K^+
Pure water	6.6	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.04	0.02
Tap water	7.4	31.0	4.1	10.8	3.9
Spring water	7.3	4	0.5	0.8	0.35

spring water (pH = 7.3). Contents of Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, Na⁺ and K⁺ were significantly larger in tap water than spring.

Effect of water quality on individual catechin content

The effect of water quality on the catechin content of Fuding white tea, specifically EGCG, EGC, EC and ECG, was investigated, and different water types were found to have an influence on the amount of catechins extracted (Fig. 1). EGCG was the major catechin in all infusions, consistent with previous results (Saklar et al. 2015), and levels were the highest in infusions prepared with pure water (115.611 mg/L), compared with only 83.704 mg/L for tap water and 100.82 mg/L for spring water. The EGC content reached a maximum value of 10.419 mg/L in infusions prepared with pure water, which was nearly twice that obtained using tap water. As with EGCG and EGC, the highest EC level was achieved with pure water (7.718 mg/ L), while the lowest level was observed with tap water (5.374 mg/L). The effect of water type on the ECG content was in agreement with the trend observed with EGCG, EGC and EC, but the differences were not significant (p > 0.05).

In general, the catechin content was the highest in tea infusions made with pure water, intermediate with spring water, and the lowest with tap water, consistent with a



Fig. 1 Effect of water quality on the EGCG, EGC, EC, ECG content in Fuding white tea infusions

previous report that catechins were more easily epimerised and then rapidly degraded in infusions prepared with tap water, compared with purified water (Wang and Helliwell 2000), and also with the observation that green tea extracts prepared with tap water contained less polyphenols (Zhou et al. 2009). This could be explained by the complexity of ions in tap water including Ca²⁺, Na⁺, Mg²⁺, K⁺, or by differences in pH between tap and purified water (Wang and Helliwell 2000). Ions such as Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} may combine with tea polyphenols, causing them to be partially retained in the tea residue (Zhou et al. 2009). The catechin content was reported to decrease when the concentration of Ca²⁺ was above 40 mg/L, due to catechin-metal ion interactions (Xu et al. 2013). Moreover, Ca^{2+} ions in mineral and tap water could be complexed with pectins from cell walls, which may decrease the extraction efficiency (Mossion et al. 2008). Additionally, the pH of tea infusions also affected epimerization of catechins. Epistructured catechins tend to be more easily epimerized to non-epistructured forms in solutions with a pH between 6 and 8 (Vuong et al. 2013). Thus, the ions and pH of pure, spring and tap water influenced the epimerization of catechins, and pure water produced infusions with a higher catechin content than spring or tap water.

Effect of water quality on caffeine content

Caffeine is the most abundant compound in green, black, oolong, and pu-erh teas (Suyare et al. 2013), and this was also the case in white tea in the present study (Fig. 2). Unlike catechins, the maximum caffeine content was achieved with spring water (396.207 mg/L), followed by pure water (386.584 mg/L), while tap water extracted the least caffeine (381.721 mg/L). The pH of the brewing solution did not influence the extraction efficiency of caffeine (Vuong et al. 2013), but the extraction rate was



Fig. 2 Effect of water quality on the caffeine content in Fuding white tea infusions

reported to decrease with increasing calcium content, compared with ultra-pure water (Mossion et al. 2008), in partial agreement with the results of the present study. Indeed, infusions prepared with tap water containing ions contained less caffeine than infusions prepared with pure water, while infusions extracted with spring water had higher caffeine levels than those made using pure water.

Effect of water quality on solids content

The influence of water type on the solids content was investigated (Fig. 3), and was found to be higher in samples prepared with tap and spring water than with pure water (p < 0.05). However, differences between tap and spring water were not significant (p > 0.05). This may be due to differences in the chemical composition or pH in the different water types. It was reported that the extraction of tea solids was increased during brewing under acidic conditions (pH 1–2) or alkaline conditions (pH 8–9) (Vuong et al. 2013). Tap and spring water were weakly alkaline, which may explain the higher solids content compared with pure water, which was weakly acid.

Effect of water quality on theanine content

Theanine is a non-proteic amino acid that is more abundant in white tea than other teas (Pinto 2013). Interestingly, the effect of water quality on theanine content differed from that of catechins and caffeine (Fig. 4). The theanine content was the highest in tea infusions made using spring water (p < 0.05), while infusions prepared with pure water had a slightly lower theanine content than those prepared with tap water. As with caffeine, the pH of the tea infusion did not affect the theanine content. Thus, differences in theanine content may be due to differences in the chemical composition of different water types.



The effect of water type on the free amino acid content of Fuding white tea infusions was investigated (Fig. 5). The total amino acid content was the highest in infusions prepared with tap water (114.18 µg/mL), lowest with pure water (74.54 µg/mL), and intermediate with spring water (82.34 µg/mL). Among all the amino acids, Asp and Glu were the most abundant, while Ser and Val were present at the lowest levels. Asp, Glu, Ser, Thr, Arg, Ala, Tyr, Cys-s, Met, Phe, Ile, Leu, and Lys were all highest in infusions prepared with tap water, and accounted for 94% of all amino acids identified. Meanwhile, His and Gly, and Val and Pro were the highest in infusions made with pure and spring water, respectively. All amino acids present in relatively high abundance were the highest with tap water. In contrast to catechins, caffeine and theanine, infusions made with tap water had higher amino acid content. Zhou et al. (2009) found that the amino acid content in green tea extracts was lower in infusions made with deionized water compared with distilled water and activated carbon-adsorbed water.

Sensory analysis

The effect of water type on the sensory attributes (colour, aroma and taste) of white tea infusions was investigated. Water-soluble pigments such as flavonols, anthocyanins, flavanones and flavanols are the main compounds that contribute to the colour of tea infusions. The highest colour sensory score was obtained for infusions prepared with pure water, followed by spring water, while the lowest colour score was obtained with tap water (Fig.







Fig. 6 Effect of water quality on the sensory scores in Fuding white tea infusions

The aroma score was the highest in samples prepared with pure and spring water, and was 88 in both cases, while the score for tap water infusions was 85. The aroma of green tea infusions was reported to be affected by Ca^{2+} , Fe^{2+} , Al^{3+} and Zn^{2+} ions (Yin et al. 2014). Thus, the low aroma score for tap water in the present study may be due to the effect of ions on the volatilization of flavor compounds.

Among all taste compounds identified, only EGCG and caffeine reached a threshold that indicated a significant contribution to the bitterness and astringency of tea infusions. As with colour, water quality had a comparable effect on the taste of tea infusions, which also displayed the same trend as EGCG content. It was reported that Ca^{2+} , Fe^{2+} , Al^{3+} and Zn^{2+} ions markedly influenced the taste of

green tea infusions, and the same tea brewed with water of different hardness, which was dependent on the Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} content, produced infusions with distinctly different taste (Yin et al. 2014). Meanwhile, significant differences in the taste of green tea were previously reported for tea beverages made using tap, purified, distilled, soft and mineral water (Yin et al. 2014). This could explain the lower taste score for tap water in the present study.

In conclusion, water type effected on the sensory characteristics of white tea infusions, in agreement with the effect on sensory quality of green tea infusions observed earlier due to differences in ion content (Yin et al. 2014). In the present study, pure water, which was slightly acidic (pH = 6.6) and had the lower ion content compared with tap and spring water, scored most highly for colour, aroma and taste, and ions are known to affect these sensory parameters (Yin et al. 2014).

Conclusion

Water is the main determinant of tea quality. The influence of water type on the extraction of the main compounds in Fuding white tea were investigated, and results revealed that water type clearly affected both the content of various compounds and the sensory characteristics of the infusion. Pure water achieved a higher catechin content than both spring and tap water, presumably due to its weak acidity and lower structural viscosity from small organic molecules. However, caffeine and theanine were the highest with spring water, and the amino acid content was maximal with tap water. The sensory quality of white tea infusions was similarly influenced by water type, and the ion content appeared to be the main contributor. Pure water produced white tea with the highest colour, aroma and taste sensory scores.

Acknowledgements This work was financially supported by the Zhejiang Province Key Research and Development Program (2015C02026).

References

- Azman NAM, Peir S, Fajar L, Juli L, Almajano MP (2014) Radical scavenging of white tea and its flavonoid constituents by electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectroscopy. J Agric Food Chem 62:5743–5748
- Chen Q, Zhao J, Guo Z, Wang X (2010) Determination of caffeine content and main catechins contents in green tea (*Camellia sinensis* L.) using taste sensor technique and multivariate calibration. J Food Compos Anal 23:353–358
- Damiani E, Bacchetti T, Padella L, Tiano L, Carloni P (2014) Antioxidant activity of different white teas: comparison of hot and cold tea infusions. J Food Compos Anal 33:59–66
- Hajiaghaalipour F, Kanthimathi MS, Sanusi J, Rajarajeswaran J (2015) White tea (*Camellia sinensis*) inhibits proliferation of the colon cancer cell line, HT-29, activates caspases and protects DNA of normal cells against oxidative damage. Food Chem 169:401–410
- Hilal Y, Engelhardt U (2007) Characterisation of white tea comparison to green and black tea. J Verbraucher Lebensm 2:414–421
- Kim YC, Choi SY, Park EY (2015) Anti-melanogenic effects of black, green, and white tea extracts on immortalized melanocytes. J Vet Sci 16:135–143
- Kocadagli T, Özdemir KS, Gokmen V (2013) Effects of infusion conditions and decaffeination on free amino acid profiles of green and black tea. Food Res Int 53:720–725
- Mossion A, Potin-gautier M, Delerue S, Hecho IL, Behra P (2008) Effect of water composition on aluminium, calcium and organic carbon extraction in tea infusions. Food Chem 106:1467–1475
- Narukawa M, Kimata H, Noga C, Watanabe T (2010) Taste characterisation of green tea catechins. Int J Food Sci Technol 45:1579–1585
- Narukawa M, Noga C, Ueno Y, Sato T, Misaka T, Watanabe T (2011) Evaluation of the bitterness of green tea catechins by a cell-based assay with the human bitter taste receptor hTAS2R39. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 405:620–625
- Nunes AR, Alves MG, Tom SGD, Conde VR, Crist VOAC, Moreira PI, Oliveira PF, Silva BM (2015) Daily consumption of white tea (*Camellia sinensis* (L.)) improves the cerebral cortex metabolic and oxidative profile in prediabetic Wistar rats. Br J Nutr 113:832–842
- Pinto MDS (2013) Tea: a new perspective on health benefits. Food Res Int 53:558–567
- Qin Z, Pang X, Chen D, Cheng H, Hu X, Wu J (2013) Evaluation of Chinese tea by the electronic nose and gas chromatography–

mass spectrometry: correlation with sensory properties and classification according to grade level. Food Res Int 53:864–874

- Rusak G, Komes D, Likic S, Horzic D, Kovac M (2008) Phenolic content and antioxidative capacity of green and white tea extracts depending on extraction conditions and the solvent used. Food Chem 110:852–858
- Saklar S, Ertas E, Ozdemir IS, Karadeniz B (2015) Effects of different brewing conditions on catechin content and sensory acceptance in Turkish green tea infusions. J Food Sci Technol 52:6639–6646
- Sanna V, Lubinu G, Madau P, Pala N, Nurra S, Mariani A, Sechi M (2015) Polymeric nanoparticles encapsulating white tea extract for nutraceutical application. J Agric Food Chem 63:2026–2032
- Sereshti H, Samadi S, Jalali-heravi M (2013) Determination of volatile components of green, black, oolong and white tea by optimized ultrasound-assisted extraction-dispersive liquid–liquid microextraction coupled with gas chromatography. J Chromatogr A 1280:1–8
- Song JL, Zhou Y, Feng X, Zhao X (2015) White tea (*Camellia sinenesis* (L.)) ethanol extracts attenuate reserpine-induced gastric ulcers in mice. Food Sci Biotechnol 24:1159–1165
- Suyare AR, Nisha N, Gabriela BO, Priscila ADO, Tais OMS, Aline GPDS, Narendra N (2013) Effect of infusion time on phenolic compounds and caffeine content in black tea. Food Res Int 51:155–161
- Tomaszewska E, Winiarska-mieczan A, Dobrowolski P (2015) Hematological and serum biochemical parameters of blood in adolescent rats and histomorphological changes in the jejunal epithelium and liver after chronic exposure to cadmium and lead in the case of supplementation with green tea vs black, red or white tea. Exp Toxicol Pathol 67:331–339
- Vuong QV, Golding JB, Stathopoulos CE, Roach PD (2013) Effects of aqueous brewing solution pH on the extraction of the major green tea constituents. Food Res Int 53:713–719
- Wang HF, Helliwell K (2000) Epimerisation of catechins in green tea infusions. Food Chem 70:337–344
- Xu YQ, Zhong XY, Yin JF, Yuan HB, Tang P, Du QZ (2013) The impact of Ca²⁺ combination with organic acids on green tea infusions. Food Chem 139:944–948
- Yau NJN, Huang YJ (2000) The effect of membrane-processed water on sensory properties of Oolong tea drinks. Food Qual Prefer 11:331–339
- Yin JF, Zhang YN, Du QZ, Chen JX, Yuan HB, Xu YQ (2014) Effect of Ca²⁺ concentration on the tastes from the main chemicals in green tea infusions. Food Res Int 62:941–946
- Yu P, Yeo AS, Low MY, Zhou W (2014) Identifying key non-volatile compounds in ready-to-drink green tea and their impact on taste profile. Food Chem 155:9–16
- Zhou D, Chen Y, Ni D (2009) Effect of water quality on the nutritional components and antioxidant activity of green tea extracts. Food Chem 113:110–114
- Zielinski AAF, Haminiuk CWI, Beta T (2016) Multi-response optimization of phenolic antioxidants from white tea (*Camellia* sinensis L. Kuntze) and their identification by LC–DAD–Q-TOF–MS/MS. LWT Food Sci Technol 65:897–907